TO:

## Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450

## REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Central District of California on the following Patents or G Trademarks:

SACV07-1194 AG (RNBx)		U.S. D	U.S. DISTRICT COURT Central District of California				
PLAINTIFF			DEFENDANT				
OAKLEY, INC., a Washington corporation			UNDER ARMOR, INC., a Maryland corporation and EYEKING, LLC, a New York Linguist Liability Corporation				
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT		RADEMĀRK		
1 5,387,949	2/7/1995	Oak	Oakley, Inc.		9 I	ra ra	
2					RACT I		
3					: 28		
4					-	_	
5							
In the abo	ve—entitled case, the following INCLUDED BY G An	g patent(s)/ to	G Answer	een included:  G Cross Bill	G Other Pleading		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT	nenament	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK				
1	OR TRADEMARK						
2		-					
3							
4							
5							
	ve—entitled case, the following	decision has	s been rendered or j	judgement issued:			
DECISION/JUDGEMENT							
CLERK (B		Y) DEPUTY CLERK			DATE		
C. 1 H							

Gregory L. Weeks, CSB 58584
Janet Robertson Kaufman, CSB 116143
Gregory K. Nelson, CSB 203029
Chandler G. Weeks, CSB 245503
WEEKS, KAUFMAN, NELSON & JOHNSON
462 Stevens Avenue, Suite 310
Solana Beach, CA 92075
Telephone: (858) 794-2140
Facsimile: (858) 794-2141
Email: Office@wknjlaw.com JS-6 5 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 SOUTHERN DIVISION 11 OAKLEY, INC., a Washington Case No.: SACV 07-1194 AG (RNBx) corporation, 12 13 Plaintiff. ORDER FOR DISMISSAL 14 VS. 15 UNDER ARMOR, INC., a Maryland corporation and EYEKING, LLC, a 16 New York Limited Liability 17 Corporation, 18 Defendants. 19 20 This case having come on before this Court, upon the pleadings, and it 21 being represented to the Court that Plaintiff, Oakley, Inc. (hereinafter referred to 22 as "Oakley") and Defendants Under Armor, Inc. and Eyeking, LLC (hereinafter 23 collectively referred to as "Defendants") have settled their differences with 24

respect to the matters in dispute by way of a separate confidential agreement

between the parties. On the consent of the parties and their attorneys, and good

25

26

27 28 cause having been shown,

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDICATED, AND DECREED:

That all claims of Oakley are dismissed with prejudice. Defendants' affirmative defenses are dismissed as being moot.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED.

DATED: March 10, 2008



Andrew J. Guilford, Judge, U.S. District Court